Karl Herkenrath

In der Hardt 23

56746 Kempenich, 30.1.2016

Tel. 02655 / 942889 Fax 02655 / 942887

E-mail: <u>info@selbsteinstellendes-kettenrad.com</u>
Website: <u>www.selbsteinstellendes-kettenrad.com</u>

Mr
Sigmar Gabriel
c/o Federal Ministry for
Economic Affairs and Energy
Scharnhorststraße 17

11019 Berlin

Introduction of patent EP 2594824 "Self-adjusting drive wheel"
Latest reaction by company Ketten Wulf

Dear Minister,

This is to advise you of the following event which clearly shows how parts of the industry (namely company Ketten Wulf in Eslohe) can try to intimidate a "small" inventor.

As indicated earlier in my letter of 12.01.2016 sent to you, the previous patent was extensively tested on the premises of company Ketten Wulf in Eslohe over the period 2001 to 2003. There are two folders in my office with documents which were made available to me in accordance with a licence agreement concluded with me.

In 2002, trade journal "Konstruktion" published an article which I set up on my website without any changes.

The test on a chain simulator was run until 2003 and it confirmed, as announced earlier, a **reduction of wear of minimum 30%**.

I had first set up on my website the relevant examination report, for which there was **no non-disclosure agreement** in place, but then had to remove it, as it was still considered "just about worthy of protection" (so-called small coin).

As a result, I changed two articles on my website accordingly and pointed out to readers that the examination report could no longer be set up and also explained why.

In addition, I quoted from a publication in trade journal "Konstruktion" but then I received the enclosed letter from law firm Rechtsanwälte Fritz und Partner in Arnsberg, to which I replied with the enclosed letter.

Given that I did not "disparage" company Ketten Wulf in any way, I will not change my website and the articles set up there will remain unchanged. Should I receive yet another warning or if company Ketten Wulf were to sue me once again, I will get the press involved, as such practices are pure insolence in my view.

The tests were undoubtedly performed on the premises of company Ketten Wulf over a multi-year period and I deem appropriate to quote the concluding sentences of the examination report:

"[Result:

As can be gathered from the evaluations and the diagram, all test samples on the Herkenrath chain wheel indicate lower wear than the Ketten Wulf wheel. Furthermore, the trials demonstrate that the chain wheel based on the Herkenrath design principle has a particularly beneficial effect on items that are subject to increased wear. Kückelheim, 06.02.03]"

In my view, it is completely unacceptable for a large company to conclude a licence agreement with an inventor, to perform tests over a number of years which then led to the result available, and then to not want to remember this matter years later.

With regard to the statements made by the former employee of company Ketten Wulf, Mr Wilke, in trade journal "Konstruktion", I can perfectly imagine that company Ketten Wulf would love to "shoot to the moon" said Mr Wilke, now apparently deceased, (which is my subjective opinion in this matter) but, still, my view is: What is true must remain true.

It is not acceptable to have my self-adjusting chain wheel tested on the premises of company Ketten Wulf, to **noisily trumpet** in trade journal "Konstruktion" one year before the end of the test the benefits expected from using the self-adjusting chain wheel, to come to such excellent result at the end of the tests, and then to terminate the matter by dissolving the licence agreement in place, and years later **to refuse to tolerate being "reminded" of these events** (see letter of law firm Fritz und Partner dated 27.01.2016).

Here, it should also be mentioned that BILLIONS of savings are involved that could be generated by operators worldwide thanks to this chain wheel, as just any chain could be equipped with this chain wheel; an additional benefit is a 50% noise reduction (see statement by Mr Wilke in trade journal "Konstruktion") plus a substantial reduction in environmental pollution.

I am quite prepared to introduce my self-adjusting chain wheel to you in Berlin and would on this occasion bring along all relevant documents including the examination report, which were made available to me at that time by company Ketten Wulf.

Furthermore, there are already some foreign interested parties with which I am in the process of negotiating. As long as the chain wheel has not been sold to an appropriate company, I will keep introducing the self-adjusting chain wheel all over the world.

I am looking forward to hearing from you in this matter.

Yours sincerely

Enclosures: Letter of law firm Fritz und Partner in Arnsberg dated

27.01.2016

My reply dated 30.01.2016